To

HR Chip Training & Consultant

From:

 Mr Lal Bad shah

 Union Council Polio Officer (UCPO)

 Dehrakai 1, District Bajaur.

**Reply to show cause Letter on account of Data Fudging vide letter no. Ref SL-KP-TD-049/October/R dated: 30, october, 2019.**

This is with reference to show cause letter dated: 30, October, 2019 that your honor has issued, regarding data fudging in my capacity as “Union Council Polio Officer (UCPO)” at “Dherakai 1, Salarzai District Bajaur”.

Respected Sir, I beg to say that I have performed my duty with zeal and enthusiasm, as far as my previous history and record is concerned I have never been convicted in such like cases. My evaluation reports are clear and I have never been found guilty in such like cases.

During my services working as “Union Council Polio Officer “since 2013, I have never been convicted in data fudging, furthermore I have never violated SOPs of my organization and always remained faithful and honest to my TORs. My clusters and reports are clear testimony of my honesty and faithfulness.

On 27 August I visited the area of the said Union Council and took clusters of various houses and submit my final report to the learned “Senior Tehsil Campaign Support Person” and finally this report was circulated to the learned “Polio Eradication Officer Bajaur”. Honestly I have collected the data of the houses, elder’s names and the child with fake finger mark notified to me by parents recall with true finger mark during my cluster which clear cut match with my cluster report that can be evaluated any time .

As far as my previous record is concerned I have reported various issues on time to the responsible authorities and concerns, which are available on record. Especially in the said campaign I have reported the issues in clusters and make them the record of the PEI program.

At the time of my visit I did not identify any illegality or irregularity in my role and responsibilities. Furthermore, I beg to say that technical grounds should not be based for my conviction. I am innocent and has falsely been implicated in the instant case.

 Thanks!